Weakly Nonassociative Algebras and the KP Hierarchy

Folkert Müller-Hoissen

MPI for Dynamics and Self-Organization, Göttingen

joint work with Aristophanes Dimakis University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece

References: see arXiv 2006/7

ISLAND 3, July 2007

This talk is about

- Matrix KP equations (hierarchies) (Zakharov & Kuznetsov '86, Athorne & Fordy '87, ...). Some of its uses:
 - (complicated) solutions of the *scalar* KP hierarchy (and other integrable equations) arise from (simple) solutions of a matrix (or operator) version (Marchenko '88, Carl, Schiebold)
 - bridge to sdYM: *dispersionless limit* of matrix potential KP equation is pseudo-dual chiral model (dual to Ward's chiral model) (Dimakis & M-H '07)
- More generally: KP with dependent variable in any associative algebra (see also Olver & Sokolov '98, Kupershmidt '00). This point of view takes us away from the multi-component KP framework (Sato '81) which also covers matrix KP.
- Relation with a special type of *nonassociative* algebras. Older work on relations between nonassociative algebras and integrable systems: Svinolupov, Sokolov,

Plan of this talk

- I. From nonassociativity to KP
- II. What are WNA algebras ?
 - free WNA algebra
 - quasisymmetric functions
- III. The hierarchy of ODEs on a WNA algebra
- IV. A class of exact solutions
 - V. From WNA to Gelfand-Dickey-Sato
- VI. Conclusions

I. From nonassociativity ...



... to KP

$$\delta_{1}(f) = f^{2}$$

$$\delta_{2}(f) = f f^{2} - f^{2} f$$

$$\delta_{3}(f) = f (f f^{2}) - f f^{2} f - f^{2} f^{2} + (f^{2} f) f$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \delta_{1} \left(4 \delta_{3}(f) - \delta_{1}^{3}(f) + 6 \delta_{1}(f)^{2} \right) - 3 \delta_{2}^{2}(f) \equiv 6 \left[\delta_{1}(f), \delta_{2}(f) \right]$$

This identity formally corresponds to potential KP equation via

$$\delta_{n} \quad \mapsto \quad \partial_{t_{n}}$$

This relation extends to the whole KP hierarchy ! Building law for the commuting derivations:

 $\delta_n(f) := f \circ_n f$

where $a \circ_1 b := a b$ and

$$a \circ_{n+1} b := a (f \circ_n b) - (a f) \circ_n b$$

Consequence: (true for *any* WNA algebra)

$$\partial_{t_n}(f) = f \circ_n f \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

 $\implies u := -\partial_{t_1}(f) \in \mathbb{A}'$ solves **KP hierarchy**

II. What are WNA algebras ?

A WNA algebra. Associative subalgebra and ideal:

 $\mathbb{A}' := \{ b \in \mathbb{A} \mid (a, b, c) = 0 \quad \forall a, c \in \mathbb{A} \}$

Construction of special WNA algebras:

- *A* associative algebra (over commutative ring)
- $g \in \mathcal{A}$ fixed
- linear maps $L, R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that

[L, R] = 0, L(a b) = L(a) b, R(a b) = a R(b)

Augment \mathcal{A} by an element f such that

ff = g, fa = L(a), af = R(a)

 \implies WNA algebra \mathbb{A} with dim $(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}') = 1$ and $\mathbb{A}' = \mathcal{A}$. Generalization: $L_i, R_i, [L_i, R_j] = 0 \curvearrowright \dim(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}') = N$

Any WNA algebra with dim(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}') = *N* is isomorphic to one of these!

Example: free WNA algebra (with dim(\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}') = 1)

 $\mathcal{A}_{\text{free}}$ **associative** algebra freely generated by $c_{m,n}, m, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Define

$$L(c_{m,n}) := c_{m+1,n}, \qquad R(c_{m,n}) := c_{m,n+1}$$

Augment $\mathcal{A}_{\text{free}}$ with an element f such that

 $f^{2} := c_{0,0}, \qquad f a := L(a), \qquad a f := R(a) \qquad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{free}}$ Writing $L_{f}(a) := f a, \ R_{f}(a) := a f$, we have $c_{m,n} = L_{f}^{m} R_{f}^{n}(f^{2})$

- \implies WNA algebra $\mathbb{A}(f)_{\text{free}}$ freely generated by f
- $\implies \text{derivations } \delta_n \text{ are defined by action on } f \text{ and derivation rule} \\ \text{e.g.} \quad \delta_3(f) = c_{2,0} c_{1,1} + c_{0,2} c_{0,0}^2 \text{ (nonlinearity !)}$

 \implies 'KP hierarchy identities'

Example: Algebra of quasisymmetric functions

... in (commuting) variables $p_1, p_2, ...$ is spanned by elements

$$\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} p_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots p_{i_r}^{n_r}$$

Examples of quasisymmetric polynomials in three variables:

 $p_1 p_2^2 + p_1 p_3^2 + p_2 p_3^2, \quad p_1^3 p_2^2 + p_1^3 p_3^2 + p_2^3 p_3^2$ Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[[p_1, p_2, \ldots]]$. For a monomial $a = p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_r}$ define $m(a) := \min\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}, \qquad M(a) := \max\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ Introduce the new product Augment with f such that $f \circ_1 f := \sum p_{i_1} \dots f \circ_1 a := a \sum p_{i_1} \dots a \circ_1 f := a \sum p_i$

$$f \circ_1 f := \sum_i p_i, \quad f \circ_1 a := a \sum_{i \le m(a)} p_i, \quad a \circ_1 f := a \sum_{M(a) < i} p_i$$

 \implies WNA algebra freely generated by f

 \implies derivations δ_n exist \implies KP identities

We have

$$\sum_{i} p_{i}^{m} \circ_{1} \sum_{k} p_{k}^{n} = \sum_{i < j \le k} p_{i}^{m} p_{j} p_{k}^{n}$$
$$\delta_{n}(f) = f \circ_{n} f = \sum_{i} p_{i}^{n}$$
$$\delta_{n}(a) = \left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{n}\right) a \qquad a \in \mathcal{A}$$

so that the **KP identity** becomes

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{i} p_{i}\right) \left[4\sum_{i} p_{i}^{3} - \left(\sum_{i} p_{i}\right)^{3} + 6\sum_{i < j \le k} p_{i}p_{j}p_{k}\right] - 3\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}^{2}\right)^{2} \\ + 6\sum_{i < j \le k} \left(p_{i}^{2}p_{j}p_{k} - p_{i}p_{j}p_{k}^{2}\right) \equiv 0 \end{split}$$

Such identities show up if one tries to solve the (potential) KP with a (formal) power series ansatz (Okhuma & Wadati '83) See also: Dimakis & M-H, J. Phys. A **38** (2005) 5453

III. The hierarchy of ODEs on a WNA algebra $\mathbb A$

$$\partial_{t_n}(f) = f \circ_n f \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Recall:

• A is WNA if
$$(a, bc, d) = 0$$
, i.e. $\mathbb{A}^2 \subset \mathbb{A}'$
 $\mathbb{A}' = \{b \in \mathbb{A} \mid (a, b, c) = 0 \quad \forall a, c \in \mathbb{A}\}$

- $a \circ_1 b := ab$, $a \circ_{n+1} b := a(f \circ_n b) (af) \circ_n b$ Note: \circ_n only depends on $[f] \in \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}'$
- If f solves the above hierarchy of ODEs, then $u = -\partial_{t_1}(f)$ solves the KP hierarchy in \mathbb{A}'

If there is a **constant** element $v \in \mathbb{A}$, $v \notin \mathbb{A}'$, with [v] = [f], then

$$\phi := \nu - f \in \mathbb{A}'$$

and it solves the **potential KP hierarchy**. The above hierarchy of ODEs then becomes

 $\partial_{t_n}(\phi) = -\nu \circ_n \nu + \nu \circ_n \phi + \phi \circ_n \nu - \phi \circ_n \phi$

IV. A class of exact solutions

 \mathcal{A} = algebra of (complex) $N \times M$ matrices with product $A \circ B := AQB$ Q constant $M \times N$ matrixTo turn it into a WNA algebra, augment by v such that

$$v \circ v = -S$$
, $v \circ A = LA$, $A \circ v = -AR$

with constant matrices S, L, R. Set

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} R & Q \\ S & L \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H^n =: \begin{pmatrix} R_n & Q_n \\ S_n & L_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The hierarchy of ODEs becomes the matrix Riccati system

$$\implies \phi_{t_n} = S_n + L_n \phi - \phi R_n - \phi Q_n \phi \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

which is solved in the Grassmannian way:

$$\sim Z_{t_n} = H^n Z$$
, $Z = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$, $\phi = Y X^{-1}$

 $\implies Z = e^{\xi(\mathbf{t},H)} Z_0 \quad \text{where} \quad \xi(\mathbf{t},H) = \sum_{n \ge 1} t_n H^n \quad \curvearrowleft \quad \phi$

Some cases in which ϕ can be computed explicitly

1. Let
$$S = 0$$
, $Q = RK - KL$ with a matrix K
 $\phi = e^{\xi(\mathbf{t},L)}\phi_0 (I_N + K\phi_0 - e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t},R)}Ke^{\xi(\mathbf{t},L)}\phi_0)^{-1}e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t},R)}$

If rank(Q) = 1 (and using the 'trace method' trick):

$$\varphi := \operatorname{tr}(Q\phi) = (\log \tau)_{t_1}$$

yields in particular scalar KP-II multi-solitons and resonances. If rank(Q) = m, solutions of the $m \times m$ matrix KP hierarchy are obtained via $\varphi := U^T \phi V$ where $Q = VU^T$.

2.
$$M = N, S = 0, R = L, Q = I_N + [L, K]$$

 $\implies \phi = e^{\xi(\mathbf{t}, L)} \phi_0 (I_N + K \phi_0 + F)^{-1} e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t}, L)}$

where

$$F := \left(\sum_{n \ge 1} n t_n L^{n-1} - e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t},L)} K e^{\xi(\mathbf{t},L)}\right) \phi_0$$

If rank(Q) = 1 one easily recovers a tau function associated with *Calogero-Moser* systems (Shiota '94), and KP-I lump solutions.

V. From WNA to Gelfand-Dickey-Sato

 $\mathfrak{L} = \partial + u_2 \partial^{-1} + u_3 \partial^{-2} + \dots$

 \mathcal{U} := algebra of polynomials in $u_n^{(m)} = \partial^m(u_n), m = 0, 1, ...$ with unit element *I*. Assume: $\{u_n^{(m)}\}$ algebraically independent. On the algebra of Ψ DOs $\mathcal{V} = \sum_{i \ll \infty} w_i \partial^i$ with $w_i \in \mathcal{U}$, define

 $S(\mathcal{V}) := \mathcal{L}\mathcal{V}, \quad \pi_+ :=$ projection to diff. operator part,

and $\pi_{-} := id - \pi_{+}$. Furthermore,

 $O := \operatorname{span}\{S, S\pi_{\pm}S\pi_{\pm}\cdots\pi_{\pm}S\} \quad \text{product: } A \bullet B = A\pi_{+}S\pi_{-}B$ $\mathcal{A} := \{w \in \mathcal{U} \mid w = \operatorname{res}(A(I)), A \in O\}$

Then: $(O, \bullet) \cong \mathcal{A}$ Augment \mathcal{A} with f such that $ff := -\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{L})$ and

 $f \operatorname{res}(A(I)) := \operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{L}\pi_{-}(A(I))), \qquad \operatorname{res}(A(I)) f := -\operatorname{res}(\pi_{-}(A(I)) \mathfrak{L})$

 \curvearrowright WNA. Then $f_{t_n} = f \circ_n f = -\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{L}^n)$ has integrability condition $\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{L}^m)_{t_n} = \operatorname{res}([\pi_+(\mathfrak{L}^n), \mathfrak{L}^m]) \qquad \curvearrowright \qquad \mathfrak{L}_{t_n} = [\pi_+(\mathfrak{L}^n), \mathfrak{L}]$

VI. Conclusions

The WNA framework constitutes a considerable *abstraction* from the usual KP setting. This allows to establish relations between seemingly unrelated structures.

- If the WNA subalgebra generated by *f* ∈ A admits *derivations* s.t. δ_n(*f*) := *f* ∘_n *f*, then there are '*KP identities*' Example: *quasisymmetric functions* These actually appear in the Okhuma-Wadati method !
- Let \mathbb{A} be WNA and f a solution of $\partial_{t_n}(f) = f \circ_n f$. Then $-\partial_{t_1}(f)$ solves the KP hierarchy in \mathbb{A}' (Instead of PDEs, we only have to solve ODEs.)
- Other realizations of WNA algebras and the δ_n ?

Needs clarification:

- (Further) relations with Grassmannians (and Sato theory)
- What about *other* hierarchies ?

 ¬ look for commuting derivations on other nonass. algebras

Thank you for your attention !