

Lecture 4

Representability

Hold on to your seat.

Construction 4.1 Fix a locally small category \mathcal{A} .

(a) Fix an object B of \mathcal{A} .

- For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a set $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$.
- For each $(A' \xrightarrow{f} A)$ in \mathcal{A} there is a function

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f^* = - \circ f : \mathcal{A}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(A', B), \\
 p & \longmapsto & p \circ f.
 \end{array}$$

(Note the change of direction, and compare dual vector spaces: $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Vect}_k$, $B = k$.)

So there is a functor

$$H_B = \mathcal{A}(-, B) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longmapsto & \mathcal{A}(A, B) \\
 \uparrow f & \longmapsto & f^* \downarrow \\
 A' & \longmapsto & \mathcal{A}(A', B).
 \end{array}$$

(a') Fix an object A of \mathcal{A} .

- For each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a set $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$.
- For each $(B \xrightarrow{g} B')$ in \mathcal{A} there is a function

$$g_* = g \circ - : \mathcal{A}(A, B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(A, B'),$$

$$p \longmapsto g \circ p.$$

So there is a functor

$$H^A = \mathcal{A}(A, -) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longmapsto & \mathcal{A}(A, B) \\ \downarrow g & \longmapsto & g_* \downarrow \\ B' & \longmapsto & \mathcal{A}(A, B'). \end{array}$$

(b) Fix an arrow $(B \xrightarrow{g} B')$ in \mathcal{A} . There is a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_B & \\ \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow H_g \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathbf{Set} \\ & H_{B'} & \end{array}$$

whose component at $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is

$$H_B(A) = \mathcal{A}(A, B) \xrightarrow{g^*} \mathcal{A}(A, B') = H_{B'}(A).$$

(b') Fix an arrow $(A' \xrightarrow{f} A)$ in \mathcal{A} . There is a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^A & \\ \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow H^f \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathbf{Set} \\ & H^{A'} & \end{array}$$

(note the change of direction) whose component at $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is

$$H^A(B) = \mathcal{A}(A, B) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{A}(A', B) = H^{A'}(B).$$

(c) Putting (a) and (b) together gives a functor

$$H_\bullet : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \longmapsto & H_B \\ \downarrow g & \longmapsto & H_g \downarrow \\ B' & \longmapsto & H_{B'}, \end{array}$$

the (covariant) **Yoneda embedding** of \mathcal{A} .

(c') Putting (a') and (b') together gives a functor

$$H^\bullet : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longmapsto & H^A \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ f & \longmapsto & H^f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A' & \longmapsto & H^{A'} \end{array}$$

the contravariant Yoneda embedding of \mathcal{A} . (Every concept and theorem about arbitrary categories has a **dual** concept or theorem, obtained by reversing all the arrows in the categories—or formally, taking opposite categories. The contravariant Yoneda embedding is the dual concept of the covariant Yoneda embedding.)

Summary: given a locally small category \mathcal{A} ,

(a), (a')	$A \in \mathcal{A}$	gives	$\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{H_A} \mathbf{Set},$	$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H^A} \mathbf{Set}$
(b), (b')	$(A' \xrightarrow{f} A)$ in \mathcal{A}	gives	$\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{H_{A'}} \\ \Downarrow H_f \\ \xrightarrow{H_A} \end{array} \mathbf{Set},$	$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{H^{A'}} \\ \Uparrow H^f \\ \xrightarrow{H^A} \end{array} \mathbf{Set}$
(c), (c')	we have	$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H^\bullet} [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}], \quad \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{H^\bullet} [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}].$		

Definition 4.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. A functor $X : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is **representable** if $X \cong H_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and a **representation** of X is a pair (A, α) where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and α is a natural isomorphism $H_A \longrightarrow X$. Dual definitions apply to covariant functors, $X : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Proposition 4.3 Let $U : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. If U has a left adjoint then U is representable.

Proof Take $F \dashv U$ and write 1 for a one-element set. Then

$$UA \cong \mathbf{Set}(1, UA) \cong \mathcal{A}(F1, A)$$

naturally in $A \in \mathcal{A}$, so $U \cong H^{F1}$. □

Example 4.4 The forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{Gp} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a left adjoint F , so is representable. We have $U \cong H^{F1}$; but $F1$ is the free group on one generator, \mathbb{Z} , so $U \cong H^{\mathbb{Z}}$. So $U(A) \cong H^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \mathbf{Gp}(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ for all groups A (and naturally in A). This says that an element of a group A is the same thing as a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow A$.

Example 4.5 Similarly, $U : \mathbf{Ring} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is represented by the free ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ on one generator and $U : k\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ by the free k -module k on one generator.

Example 4.6 Fix k -modules C and D . There is a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; -) : k\text{-Mod} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}, \\ E &\longmapsto \mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; E) \\ &= \{\text{bilinear maps } C \times D \longrightarrow E\} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; E) \cong k\text{-Mod}(C \otimes D, E)$$

naturally in E , so the functor $\mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; -)$ is representable.

* * *

We come now to a fundamental result: the Yoneda Lemma. Loosely, this says that an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and a functor $X : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ give rise to precisely one set. What can this mean?

- Such an A and X certainly give rise to the set $X(A)$.
- But $A \in \mathcal{A}$ induces $H_A : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, so A and X also give rise to the set $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}](H_A, X)$ of natural transformations of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & H_A & \\
 \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathbf{Set}. \\
 & X &
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Yoneda says that these two sets are isomorphic in a canonical way. In other words, a natural transformation $H_A \longrightarrow X$ is the same thing as an element of $X(A)$.

Theorem 4.7 (Yoneda Lemma) *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. Then there is a bijection*

$$[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}](H_A, X) \cong X(A)$$

natural in $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$.

Sketch proof Fix A and X . Given $\alpha : H_A \longrightarrow X$, we have $\alpha_A : H_A(A) = \mathcal{A}(A, A) \longrightarrow X(A)$, hence $\alpha_A(1_A) \in X(A)$. This gives a function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}](H_A, X) & \longrightarrow & X(A) \\ \alpha & \longmapsto & \alpha_A(1_A) \end{array}$$

whose inverse can be constructed. Then check naturality in A and X . \square

There are three important corollaries.

Corollary 4.8 (Representation = universal element)

Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category and $X : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. Then representations of X are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs (A, u) where $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $u \in X(A)$, and

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{for any } B \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } x \in X(B), \text{ there is} \\ \text{a unique map } f : B \longrightarrow A \text{ satisfying} \\ (Xf)(u) = x. \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Think of u as a ‘universal’ or ‘generic’ element of X .

Sketch proof A representation of X is, by definition, a pair (A, α) consisting of an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and a natural isomorphism $\alpha : H_A \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. Yoneda says that natural transformations $H_A \longrightarrow X$ are the same as elements of $X(A)$, so we just have to check that α is invertible if and only if the corresponding element $u = \alpha_A(1_A)$ of $X(A)$ satisfies (5). \square

Example 4.9 Fix a commutative ring k and k -modules C and D , and consider again the functor

$$\mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; -) : k\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}.$$

A representation of $\mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; -)$ can be described in two equivalent ways:

- a. as a module T together with a natural isomorphism

$$H^T = k\text{-Mod}(T, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; -)$$

b. as a module T together with a bilinear map $u : C \times D \longrightarrow T$ such that

for any module B and bilinear map $x : C \times D \longrightarrow B$, there is a unique linear map $f : T \longrightarrow B$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times D & \xrightarrow{u} & T \\ & \searrow x & \downarrow f \\ & & B \end{array}$$

commute.

Part (a) is just the definition of representation. Part (b) is the description given in the corollary (or rather its dual, concerning *covariant* functors).

It might look as if (b) says more than (a): that $\mathbf{Bilin}(C, D; -)$ and $k\text{-Mod}(T, -)$ are not merely isomorphic, but isomorphic in a specific manner (by composition with u). This is an illusion; the word ‘natural’ in (a) carries a lot of weight.

The second corollary of the Yoneda Lemma is:

Corollary 4.10 (Yoneda embedding) *The Yoneda embedding is full and faithful.*

Sketch proof Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and take $X = H_B$ in the Yoneda Lemma: then a map $H_A \longrightarrow H_B$ is the same as an element of $H_B(A) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)$. \square

This means that we can regard \mathcal{A} as a full subcategory of $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$, identifying $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with the corresponding representable H_A . Later we'll see why this is useful, and how every functor $X : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ can be built out of representables in roughly the same way that every number is built out of primes.

The third and final corollary is:

Corollary 4.11 (Uniqueness of representation) *For objects A and B of a locally small category \mathcal{A} ,*

$$H_A \cong H_B \iff A \cong B \iff H^A \cong H^B.$$

Sketch proof By duality, it suffices to prove the first ' \iff '.

' \implies ' follows from the fact that H_\bullet (like any functor) preserves isomorphism: Exercise 1.20.

' \impliedby ' follows from H_\bullet being full and faithful. \square

The substance of this result is that $H_A \cong H_B \Rightarrow A \cong B$. Think of $H_A(C) = \mathcal{A}(C, A)$ as ‘ A viewed from C ’: then the result is that two objects are the same if they look the same from all viewpoints.

The category of sets is very unusual in this respect: for sets A and B ,

$$A \cong B \iff H_A(1) \cong H_B(1),$$

so the implication $H_A \cong H_B \Rightarrow A \cong B$ is trivial when $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Set}$. In \mathbf{Set} , it’s enough to look at everything from the one-element set—all that matters about a set is its elements.

The category of groups is much more typical. Let A and B be groups. Then:

- $H_A(1) \cong H_B(1)$ no matter what A and B are
- $H_A(\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_B(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if A and B have the same cardinality (but perhaps quite different group structures)
- $H_A(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_B(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ (for a prime p) if and only if A and B have the same number of elements of order p .

Each of these only gives partial information about the similarity of A and B , but a whole natural isomorphism $H_A \cong H_B$ tells us that $A \cong B$.

Example 4.12 Adjointness is unique. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{F, F'} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{matrix} \mathcal{B}$ with $F \dashv G$ and $F' \dashv G$. Then for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mathcal{B}(FA, B) \cong \mathcal{A}(A, GB) \cong \mathcal{B}(F'A, B)$$

naturally in B : hence $H^{FA} \cong H^{F'A}$. So $FA \cong F'A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. In fact this isomorphism is natural in A , that is, $F \cong F'$.

Exercises

4.13 Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Prove with your bare hands—no Yoneda Lemma—that if $H_A \cong H_B$ then $A \cong B$.

4.14 Understand the Yoneda Lemma.