

Solutions to exercises from Lecture 2

2.19

- a. Given a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{B} , define $\mathbf{Sym}(f) : \mathbf{Sym}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sym}(Y)$ by $p \mapsto f \circ p \circ f^{-1}$. This makes \mathbf{Sym} into a functor since $(g \circ f) \circ p \circ (g \circ f)^{-1} = g \circ (f \circ p \circ f^{-1}) \circ g^{-1}$ and $1 \circ p \circ 1^{-1} = p$.

Given a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{B} , define $\mathbf{Ord}(f) : \mathbf{Ord}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ord}(Y)$ by $R \mapsto f_*R$ where, if R is a total order on X , f_*R is the total order on Y given by

$$(y, y') \in f_*R \iff (f^{-1}y, f^{-1}y') \in R.$$

This is clearly functorial.

- b. Suppose that there is a natural transformation $\alpha : \mathbf{Sym} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$. Then for any bijection $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ of finite sets, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Sym}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Sym}(f)} & \mathbf{Sym}(Y) \\ \alpha_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_Y \\ \mathbf{Ord}(X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathbf{Ord}(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that $(\mathbf{Sym}(f))(1_X) = f \circ 1_X \circ f^{-1} = 1_Y$: so if we take $Y = X$ and write R for the total order $\alpha_X(1_X)$ on X then the square says that $f_*R = R$. In particular, this holds when X is a 2-element set $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and f is the non-identity permutation of X , so $(x_1, x_2) \in R \iff (x_2, x_1) \in R$. Since R is a total order, this is impossible.

Part (b) shows that \mathbf{Sym} and \mathbf{Ord} are not naturally isomorphic. But if X is a finite set then there are the same number of bijections $X \longrightarrow X$ and total orders on X (namely, $|X|!$), so $\mathbf{Sym}(X) \cong \mathbf{Ord}(X)$.

2.20 Conjugacy.

Let $H \xrightarrow[p]{q} G$ be homomorphisms between monoids, or equivalently functors between one-object categories. Writing \star for the single object of both

categories, a natural transformation $\alpha : p \longrightarrow q$ consists of a map α_* in the category G such that for all maps h in the category H , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \star & \xrightarrow{p(h)} & \star \\ \alpha_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_* \\ \star & \xrightarrow{q(h)} & \star \end{array}$$

commutes. In other words, it consists of an element g of the monoid G such that $g \cdot p(h) = q(h) \cdot g$ for all $h \in H$. When G and H are groups, this says that $q(h) = gp(h)g^{-1}$ for all $h \in H$. So two group homomorphisms are naturally isomorphic if and only if they are, in this sense, conjugate.

Now take $H = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $p, q : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G$ be the homomorphisms corresponding to elements $x, y \in G$ respectively; thus $p(n) = x^n$ and $q(n) = y^n$. By the above, p and q are naturally isomorphic if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $y^n = gx^n g^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, or equivalently $y = gxg^{-1}$.

2.21 Define $F : \mathbf{Mat} \longrightarrow \mathbf{FDVect}$ on objects by $n \longmapsto k^n$, and on maps by the usual matrix-multiplication formula: the image under F of an $n \times m$ matrix M is the linear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k^m & \longrightarrow & k^n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_m) & \longmapsto & (\sum_i M_{1i}x_i, \dots, \sum_i M_{ni}x_i). \end{array}$$

You're meant to guess that the composition and identities in the category \mathbf{Mat} are given by matrix multiplication and the identity matrix, and it is straightforward to show that F is indeed a functor.

The standard correspondence between $n \times m$ matrices and linear transformations $k^m \longrightarrow k^n$ says precisely that F is full and faithful. The fact that every finite-dimensional vector space is isomorphic to k^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ says that F is essentially surjective on objects. So $F : \mathbf{Mat} \longrightarrow \mathbf{FDVect}$ is an equivalence.

This functor F is canonical, in the sense that it can be defined without making any random choices. But consider what a pseudo-inverse to F (that is, a functor $G : \mathbf{FDVect} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mat}$ satisfying $G \circ F \cong 1$ and $F \circ G \cong 1$) would have to be: we would have to put $G(V) = \dim V$, and then to define G on maps, we would have to choose a basis for every finite-dimensional vector space, and this cannot be done canonically.