Introduction to Algebraic Topology

Johnny Nicholson

University College London

https://www.ucl.ac.uk/~ucahjni/

Lecture 1. Spaces and equivalences January 25th, 2021 Topology consists of the following game:

- Choose a class of "spaces" (e.g. 2-dimensional polyhedra)
- Choose a notion of "equivalence" (e.g. equivalent if you can bend one shape into the other without pinching or tearing)
- When are two spaces equivalent? What are the equivalence classes?

The idea is to choose *the right notions of space and equivalence* so that something fundamental about spaces is captured in this game









The goal of this lecture will be to formalise some of these pictures

Spaces: subsets of \mathbb{R}^n

The first type of space will consider will be subsets $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ Examples:

▶ The simplest example is *n*-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n

The *n*-sphere

$$S^{n} = \left\{ (x_{0}, \dots, x_{n}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} = 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

- S^2 is a sphere and S^1 is a circle (a one-dimensional sphere)
- The unit interval $I = [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- The point space $* = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

We can build new spaces from old ones in all the usual ways. For example, if $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, then:

$$X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

- The square I^2
- The (hollow) cylinder $S^1 \times I$





After staring at it for a while, one can eventually come up with the following explicit formula:

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ ((2 + \cos \theta) \cos \varphi, (2 + \sin \theta) \cos \varphi, \sin \varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \ \big| \ 0 \leqslant \theta, \varphi < 2\pi \right\}$$

Exercise: find a formula like this for the coffee mug

Just kidding (definitely do not do this...)

Is there any easier way to define a space?

Spaces: cell complexes

We will now define the notion of an *n*-dimensional cell complex

Definition

A 1-dimensional cell complex (or 1-complex) is a graph (V, E)where $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the vertex set and $E = \{E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$ is a collection of edges $E_i \in V \times V$

- We will consider these graphs to be unoriented, but each edge $E = (v_1, v_2)$ comes with a natural orientation $v_1 \rightarrow v_2$
- We will take 'collection' to mean that repeats are allowed, so $E = \{(v_1, v_2)\}$ is not the same as $E = \{(v_1, v_2), (v_1, v_2)\}$

Example: Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and

$$E = \{(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_2), (v_2, v_3), (v_1, v_3), (v_3, v_4)\}$$



If we want to talk about orientations, we will write $E_1^{+1} = (v_1, v_2)$ and $E_1^{-1} = (v_2, v_1)$ to denote the edge in the opposite direction

Definition

A 2-dimensional cell complex (or 2-complex) is a triple (V, E, F) where (V, E) is a graph and $F = \{F_1, \ldots, F_r\}$ is a collection of faces which are defined in either of the following ways:

- As sequences of edges F_i = (E^{±1}_{i1},...,E^{±1}_{ik}) where E^{±1}_{it} and E^{±1}_{it+1} are adjacent (taking i_{k+1} = i₁). This is the face attached along the path (E_{i1},...,E_{ik})
- As a vertex F_i = (v). This is the face attached at a single vertex v by wrapping it up into the shape of a sphere

Example:



$$\begin{split} & E_1 = (v_1, v_2), E_2 = (v_2, v_3), E_3 = (v_3, v_4), E_2 = (v_4, v_1), \\ & F_1 = (E_1^{+1}, E_2^{+1}, E_3^{+1}, E_4^{+1}), \cdots, F_4 = (v_5) \end{split}$$

How can we define the torus T as a 2-dimensional cell complex?



One cell complex has 16 faces, but the other has 100s of faces

Neither cell complex is 'smooth' like our previous definition

Later, we will choose our notion of equivalence so that all three definitions give equivalent spaces

Spaces: metric spaces

Definition For a set X, a metric on X is a map $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that: (i) $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$ (ii) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all $x, y \in X$ (iii) $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. A metric space is a pair (X, d) where X is a set and d is a metric on X.

Definition

If (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) are metric spaces, then a function $f: X \to Y$ is *continuous* if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(x, y) < \delta$ implies $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$.

Example: \mathbb{R} is a metric space with metric d(x, y) = |x - y|

- f : ℝ → ℝ is continuous if and only if, for every ε > 0, there exists a δ > 0 such that |x − y| < δ implies |f(x) − f(y)| < ε</p>
- This matches the usual definition for continuous

Subsets of \mathbb{R}^n are metric spaces:

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then (X, d) is a metric space with

$$d((x_1,\ldots,x_n),(y_1,\ldots,y_n)) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-y_i)^2}$$

1-dimensional cell complexes are metric spaces:



Every edge has length 1, i.e. we take E_i = [0, 1]
A path p is a sequence of segments of edges

For $x, y \in X$, we can define:

 $d(x, y) = \min\{ \operatorname{length}(p) \mid p \text{ a path from } x \text{ to } y \}$

Example: $d(v_1, v_4) = 2, d(v_1, v_3) = 1$

2-dimensional cell complexes are metric spaces:



Suppose a face F_i has n sides

► If n ≥ 3, distances are that of the 'standard n-gon'

• If n = 1, 2, distances can be taken from curved surfaces For $x, y \in X$, we can define:

 $d(x, y) = \min\{ \text{length}(p) \mid p \text{ a path from } x \text{ to } y \}$

Since subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and cell complexes are metric spaces, we now have a notion of continuous function $f : X \to Y$

This notion matches our intuition for continuous



which 'draws the graph on the torus' is a continuous function

There is a more general notion of *topological space*

This definition is the answer to the question: "what is the least amount of information we need to add to a set in order to have a meaningful notion of continuous function?"

Every metric space is a topological spaces but there are topological spaces which are not metric spaces

We will only consider metric spaces in this course

From now on, will use the map to mean continuous function

Definition

We say that metric spaces X and Y are *homeomorphic* $(X \cong Y)$ if there are maps $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to X$ such that $f \circ g = id_Y$ and $g \circ f = id_X$

We say that such a map f is a *homeomorphism* from X to Y.

Exercise: Prove that \cong is an equivalence relation



Then f(g(x)) = x and g(f(x)) = x and so $X \cong Y$

Example: $V = \{v\}, E = \{(v, v), (v, v)\}, F = \{(E_2, E_1, E_2^{-1}, E_1^{-1})\}$



Proposition

 $X \cong T$, i.e. X is homeomorphic to a torus

The proof is based on the following picture



• This can be used to write down a bijection $f: X \to T$

It should be clear from the formula for f and f⁻¹ that they are continuous functions Exercise: Prove $T \cong S^1 \times S^1$

Proposition

If $n \ge 1$, then $\mathbb{R}^n \not\cong *$

Proof.

Suppose there exists a homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to *$, i.e. there exists $g : * \to \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $f \circ g = id_*$ and $g \circ f = id_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

Then f is a bijection

This is a contradiction since $* = \{0\}$ and \mathbb{R}^n is infinite

Theorem

 $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ if and only if n = m

- Generalises the result above since $\mathbb{R}^0 \cong *$
- So homeomorphisms cannot change the 'dimension' of a space
- The proof is difficult and beyond the scope of this course

Equivalences: homotopy equivalence

We will now define another notion of equivalence for metric spaces which will allow for deformations which do not preserve dimension

Definition

Two maps $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are homotopy equivalent $(f \simeq g)$ if there is a one-parameter family of maps $H_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that such that $H_0 = f$ and $H_1 = g$ and which varies continuously for $t \in [0, 1]$

We say that H is a homotopy from f to g

Here by 'vary continuously', we simply mean that the induced function $H: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$, $(x,t) \mapsto H_t(x)$ is continuous

We should think of t as **time** and f fades into g as time flows from time t = 0 to time t = 1

The picture we should have in our head is:



We can generalise this to arbitrary metric spaces:

Definition

Let X and Y be metric spaces. Two maps $f, g : X \to Y$ are homotopy equivalent $(f \simeq g)$ if there is a one-parameter family of maps $H_t : X \to Y$ such that $H_0 = f$ and $H_1 = g$ and which varies continuously for $t \in [0, 1]$

This is homotopy equivalence of *maps*. How can we use this to define homotopy equivalence of *spaces*?

The idea is to replicate the definition of homeomorphism but replace "= id_X " with " $\simeq id_X$ "

Definition

Two metric spaces X and Y are homotopy equivalent $(X \simeq Y)$ if there exists maps $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to X$ such that $f \circ g \simeq id_Y$ and $g \circ f \simeq id_X$

We say that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence

Exercise: Prove that \simeq is an equivalence relation

Proposition

If $X \cong Y$, then $X \simeq Y$

Proof. If $f \circ g = id_Y$, then $f \circ g \simeq id_Y$ If $g \circ f = id_X$, then $g \circ f \simeq id_X$

Proposition If $n \ge 0$, then $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq *$

Proof.

Define functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to *, x \mapsto 0$ and $g : * \to \mathbb{R}^n, 0 \mapsto 0$ Then $f \circ g : * \to *, 0 \mapsto 0$, i.e. $f \circ g = id_*$ We also have $g \circ f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, x \mapsto 0$ and must show $g \circ f \simeq id_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ Let $H_t(x) = tx$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $H_0 = 0 = g \circ f$, $H_1 = id_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and H_t varies continuously

Hence $g \circ f \simeq \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and so $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq *$

Corollary

For $n, m \geq 0$, $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq * \simeq \mathbb{R}^m$

So homotopy equivalences can change the 'dimension' of a space