

**ON THE DIFFEOMORPHISM GROUP OF A
REDUCIBLE 3-MANIFOLD**

Harrie HENDRIKS

Mathematisch Instituut, Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Darryl McCULLOUGH*

Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA

Received 26 May 1986

Revised 25 July 1986

The loop space of the configuration space of César de Sá–Rourke–Hendriks–Laudenbach is identified as a factor (up to homotopy) of a certain space of imbeddings of a punctured 3-cell B into the compact 3-manifold M . The restriction map from the diffeomorphism group of M to this space of imbeddings is shown to be a product fibration. As an application, it is proved that the imbedding $\text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } B \text{ mod } \partial M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M)$ induces injective homomorphisms of homotopy groups.

AMS (MOS) Subj. Class.: Primary 57M99
secondary 57R50, 57R40, 55S37

3-manifold reducible 3-manifold
diffeomorphism diffeomorphism group
configuration space

1. Introduction

Let M be a connected compact orientable 3-manifold with a sphere boundary component S_0 . Then M can be constructed as a connected sum of a disk P_0 whose boundary is S_0 , with say n irreducible 3-manifolds P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n ($P_i \neq S^3$) and say g copies of $S^1 \times S^2$. Let D_i be the connected sum disk in P_i . There is a compact codimension-zero submanifold B of M , diffeomorphic to the closure of the complement of $n + 2g$ disjointly imbedded disks in P_0 , so that

- (1) $S_0 = \partial B \cap \partial M$, and
- (2) $M - B$ is the disjoint union of $P_i - D_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and g diffeomorphs of $(0, 1) \times S^2$.

* We thank the Research Council of the University of Oklahoma and the National Science Foundation for their financial support of the second author.

Consider the space $\text{Imb}(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$ of imbeddings $B \rightarrow M$ which restrict to the inclusion on S_0 . Let $\text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$ be the subspace of imbeddings that are extendible to a diffeomorphism of $M \text{ mod } \partial M$ (i.e. restricting to the inclusion on ∂M).

As direct consequence of [5], we will prove the following:

Theorem 1. *The restriction map*

$$\text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$$

which is a principal fibre bundle with fibre

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \text{Diff}(P_i \text{ mod } \partial P_i \cup D_i) \times \prod_{j=1}^g \text{Diff}([0, 1] \times S^2 \text{ mod } \{0, 1\} \times S^2),$$

is a product fibration.

Remark 1. The analogous principal fibration

$$\text{Diff}(\hat{M} \text{ mod } \partial \hat{M}) \rightarrow \text{Imb}_e(\hat{B}, \hat{M})$$

where

$$\hat{M} = M \bigcup_{S_0} D^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B} = B \bigcup_{S_0} D^3$$

is not necessarily a product fibration. For example, if P_1 and P_2 are aspherical then in $\hat{M} = P_1 \# S^3 \# P_2$ the rotations along the connected sum spheres of P_1 and P_2 with support in P_1 and P_2 respectively are isotopic, but there is no isotopy in the fibre of ρ .

Remark 2. A fibration like the one in Remark 1 has been directly studied by Jahren and by Hatcher in case $\hat{M} = S^1 \times S^2$ or $\hat{M} = P_1 \# P_2$ (see [6, 3]). In particular, Hatcher shows that in these cases the space of images of \hat{B} by diffeomorphisms of \hat{M} is contractible.

As an application consider the group $\text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } B \text{ mod } \partial M)$ of diffeomorphisms of M that map B onto B and that restrict to the inclusion on ∂M . We will show the following:

Theorem 2. *Suppose none of the irreducible summands P_i has as universal cover a homotopy 3-sphere non-diffeomorphic to S^3 . Then the inclusion map*

$$\text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } B \text{ mod } \partial M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M)$$

induces injective homomorphisms of homotopy groups.

In fact, the hypothesis is not needed to prove the injectivity at the π_0 -level. On the other hand, we will show that injectivity fails at the π_i -level for $i > 0$ if some P_i is allowed to be a fake 3-sphere (see Section 4).

2. The configuration space

We will explain here how Theorem 1 fits into the context of configuration spaces as introduced by [1] and elaborated by [5]. In [5] is defined a semi-simplicial complex C_1 , the configuration space, depending on the manifold M . The following difficult result is proved:

There are H -space morphisms:

$$\alpha: (F_g)^n \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M),$$

$$\beta: \Omega C_1 \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M),$$

$$\gamma = \text{inclusion}: \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Diff}(P_i \text{ mod } \partial P_i \cup D_i) \times \Omega O(3)^g \subset \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M)$$

so that the map defined by

$$h(x, y, z) = \alpha(x) \circ \beta(y) \circ \gamma(z)$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Here, F_g denotes the free group on g generators. It may be considered as a free factor of $\pi_1(M)$ corresponding to $\pi_1(\#_g S^1 \times S^2)$. For $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in (F_g)^n$, $\alpha(x)$ corresponds to the composition of the slidings of the summands P_i along a loop representing x_i . $\Omega O(3)$ corresponds to $\text{Diff}([0, 1] \times S^2 \text{ mod } \{0, 1\} \times S^2)$ by the Smale Conjecture (see [4]).

For applications of the theorem of [5] one may need knowledge of the space C_1 . Although we feel that the space C_1 does not have a very complicated homotopy type, its definition is unhappily very delicate. Our main theorem will describe ΩC_1 in more familiar terms.

Theorem 3. *The composition*

$$(F_g)^n \times \Omega C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha, \beta} \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Imb}_c(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Theorems 1 and 3 follow immediately from the result of [5] stated above by using the following lemma (where we take $S = (F_g)^n \times \Omega C_1$ and $G = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Diff}(P_i \text{ mod } \partial P_i \cup D_i) \times \Omega O(3)^g$ and ρ as in Theorem 1).

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group and $\rho: E \rightarrow B$ a principal G -bundle, with G acting on the right on E . Let S be a space and $h: S \times G \rightarrow E$ a G -equivariant map which is a weak homotopy equivalence. Then*

- (1) $\mu: S \rightarrow B$ defined by $\mu(s) = \rho h(s, 1)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

- (2) *If S and B are dominated by CW-complexes, then μ is a homotopy equivalence and ρ admits a section.*

Proof. Part (1) is immediate. If S and B are dominated by CW-complexes, then μ admits a homotopy inverse ν . Then, $b \mapsto h(\nu(b), 1)$ is a homotopy section to ρ . By the homotopy lifting property of ρ it is homotopic to a section. \square

3. Application

We will prove Theorem 2. Recall that a 3-manifold is said to satisfy the *Poincaré Conjecture* if every compact contractible codimension-zero submanifold is a 3-ball (see [7]). The next two lemmas show that under our hypothesis, every compact subset of the universal cover \tilde{M} of M can be imbedded in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma 2. *Suppose M is a compact 3-manifold none of whose irreducible summands has universal cover a fake 3-sphere. Then \tilde{M} satisfies the Poincaré Conjecture.*

Proof. Passing to the orientable double cover if necessary, we may assume that M is orientable. Let P be an irreducible summand of M . By Theorem 3 of [9], the universal cover of P is irreducible. Since it is not a fake 3-sphere it must satisfy the Poincaré Conjecture. Since \tilde{M} can be constructed by removing open 3-balls from the universal covers of the irreducible summands (including S^3) and then identifying copies of the resulting manifolds along some of their 2-sphere boundary components, results from Appendix I of [7] now show that \tilde{M} satisfies the Poincaré Conjecture.

Lemma 3. *Suppose N is a noncompact simply connected 3-manifold which satisfies the Poincaré Conjecture. Let K be a compact subset of N . Then K is contained in a submanifold which is a connected sum of punctured handlebodies.*

Proof. K is contained in a compact codimension-zero submanifold V . We will use the terminology and results of Section 2 of [8]. By Lemma C and the fact that N is simply connected, there is a sequence of simple moves (of type 2) which changes V into a union H_0 of compact simply connected submanifolds. Since N satisfies the Poincaré Conjecture, each component of H_0 is a punctured 3-cell. By Theorem 1 of [8], we can attach 1-handles to H_0 and then move the result H by isotopy to contain V . We also may assume H to be connected. Since N is simply connected, H must be a connected sum of punctured handlebodies.

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 2. Let $\text{Diff}_c(B \text{ mod } S_0)$ denote the union of the components of $\text{Diff}(B \text{ mod } S_0)$ of diffeomorphisms which extend to a diffeomorphism of $M \text{ mod } \partial M$. Note that $\rho^{-1}(\text{Diff}_c(B \text{ mod } S_0)) = \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } B \text{ mod } \partial M)$ and denote by ρ' the restriction of ρ to this space. Since

ρ and ρ' are product fibrations with the same fiber, the theorem follows readily from the next lemma:

Lemma 4. *Suppose every compact subset of \tilde{M} can be imbedded in R^3 . Then the inclusion*

$$\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0) \rightarrow \text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$$

induces injective homomorphisms of homotopy groups.

Remark 3. By the Smale Conjecture, $\text{Diff}(B \text{ mod } S_0)$ has the homotopy type of $\Omega B_{0,n+2g}$ where $\Omega B_{0,n+2g}$ is the classical configuration space [2] of subsets of $n+2g$ elements of R^3 ($\cong \text{Int}(P_0)$).

Proof of Lemma 4. Consider the universal covering $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Let \tilde{S}_0 be a component of $p^{-1}(S_0)$, and let \tilde{B} be the lift of B such that $\tilde{S}_0 \subset \partial \tilde{B}$. Let \tilde{S}_i ($1 \leq i \leq n+2g$) be the other boundary components of \tilde{B} . There is a well defined map

$$\lambda: \text{Imb}(B, M \text{ mod } S_0) \rightarrow \text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{M} \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0)$$

defined by the unique path lifting property of p (as B is simply connected).

Let $\langle \phi_i \rangle \in \pi_q(\text{Diff}(B \text{ mod } S_0))$, with $q \geq 0$, and suppose that $\langle \phi_i \rangle$ is trivial in $\pi_q(\text{Imb}(B, M \text{ mod } S_0))$. Then the lifted element $\lambda_* \langle \phi_i \rangle$ is trivial in $\text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{M} \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0)$. The image of a trivializing homotopy lies in a compact subset $K \subset \tilde{M}$, containing \tilde{B} , and from Lemmas 2 and 3 we may choose an imbedding of K into a 3-ball D so that \tilde{S}_0 is carried onto ∂D . Regarding $\text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, K \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0)$ as a subspace of $\text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{M} \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0)$, we find that $\lambda_* \langle \phi_i \rangle$ is trivial in $\pi_q(\text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, K \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0))$.

Since each P_i is either non simply connected or has nonempty boundary, none of the \tilde{S}_i bounds a ball in \tilde{M} . Therefore for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n+2g$, there exists a point $x_i \in D$ such that

- (1) $x_i \notin K$, and
- (2) x_i lies in the ball in D bounded by \tilde{S}_i .

Now consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diff}(B \text{ mod } S_0) &\rightarrow \lambda^{-1}(\text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, K \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0)) \rightarrow \text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, K \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Imb}(\tilde{B}, D - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+2g}\} \text{ mod } \tilde{S}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Smale Conjecture [4] it is easy to show that this composition is a homotopy equivalence. This shows that $\langle \phi_i \rangle$ is trivial.

4. Remarks

We will make two remarks. Without the hypothesis in Theorem 2 we still have the following remark.

Remark 4. *The following map induced by inclusion is injective:*

$$\pi_0 \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } B \text{ mod } \partial M) \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Diff}(M \text{ mod } \partial M).$$

Proof sketch. Let $f \in \text{Diff}(M \text{ rel } B \text{ mod } \partial M)$ with f isotopic to $\text{Id mod } \partial M$. We have to see that $f|_B$ is isotopic to $\text{Id}|_B$ in $\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0)$. As f induces the identity homomorphism of the fundamental group of (M, S_0) , f preserves each boundary component of each handle component (i.e. diffeomorph of $[0, 1] \times S^2$) of $M - \text{Int}(B)$.

Let S be the permutation group of indices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ generated by the transpositions (i, j) for which P_i and P_j are closed and orientation preservingly diffeomorphic. Then it follows from [5] that there is a surjective homomorphism $\pi_0 \Omega C_1 \rightarrow S$. (In fact, C_1 admits an S -covering, which corresponds to a concept of configuration distinguishing diffeomorphic factors.) Now $f|_B \in \text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$ comes from a particularly simple loop λ in ΩC_1 , namely one with 'support' in P_0 (under $\rho \alpha \cdot \beta$). It can be seen that the permutation determined by λ is exactly the permutation of the components of ∂B determined by $f|_B$. As $f|_B$ is isotopic to $\text{Id}|_B$ in $\text{Imb}(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$, this permutation will be the identity and therefore $f|_B$ is isotopic to Id in $\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0)$.

Let $M = P_1 \# P_0 \# P_2$, so that $g = 0$ and there are only two irreducible summands (except P_0). We will prove the following:

Remark 5. *If P_1 or P_2 is a fake 3-sphere, then the inclusion*

$$\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0) \rightarrow \text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$$

induces the trivial homomorphism of homotopy groups in dimensions greater than 0.

Proof. Suppose for the moment that it is not the case that P_1 and P_2 are closed and orientation preservingly diffeomorphic. Then it follows from Hatcher [3] (see Remark 2) that $\text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega C'$ with $C' = P_1 \# P_2$. Furthermore in this case we have

$$\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0) \simeq \text{Diff}(P_0 \text{ mod } \{x_1, x_2\} \cup S_0) \simeq \Omega S^2.$$

And $\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0) \rightarrow \text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$ corresponds to the map $\Omega S^2 \rightarrow \Omega(P_1 \# P_2)$ induced by a homeomorphism from S^2 onto the connected sum sphere of $P_1 \# P_2$. If P_1 or P_2 is homotopy equivalent to S^3 , then this map is nullhomotopic!

Now we consider the case when P_1 and P_2 are closed and orientation preservingly diffeomorphic. Then C' will be a quotient of $P_1 \# P_2$ by a fixed point free involution T that permutes P_1 and P_2 . Moreover, $\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0) = \Omega RP^2$ and the map $\text{Diff}_e(B \text{ mod } S_0) \rightarrow \text{Imb}_e(B, M \text{ mod } S_0)$ corresponds to the map $\Omega RP^2 \rightarrow \Omega(P_1 \# P_2 / T)$ induced by a homeomorphism from RP^2 onto the quotient of the connected sum sphere. Again, if P_1 and P_2 are homotopy spheres this mapping will give trivial homomorphisms of homotopy groups of dimension greater than 0.

References

- [1] E. César de Sá and C. Rourke, The homotopy type of homeomorphisms of 3-manifolds, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1979) 251–254.
- [2] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, Configuration spaces, *Math. Scand.* 10 (1962) 111–118.
- [3] A. Hatcher, On the diffeomorphism group of $S^1 \times S^2$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1981) 427–430.
- [4] A. Hatcher, A proof of the Smale Conjecture, $\text{Diff}(S^3) = O(4)$, *Annals of Math.* 117 (1983) 553–607.
- [5] H. Hendriks and F. Laudenbach, Diffeomorphismes des sommes connexes en dimension trois, *Topology* 23 (1984) 423–443.
- [6] B. Jahren, One parameter families of spheres in 3-manifolds, Ph.D. Dissertation, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, 1975.
- [7] F. Laudenbach, Topologie de la dimension trois. Homotopie et isotopie. *Astérisque* 12 (1974).
- [8] D.R. McMillan, Jr., Compact, acyclic subsets of 3-manifolds, *Mich. Math. J.* 16 (1969) 129–136.
- [9] W. Meeks, L. Simon, and S.T. Yau, Imbedded minimal surfaces, exotic spheres, and manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, *Annals of Math.* 116 (1982) 621–659.