In this paper the homotopy type of the group of diffeomorphism of sufficiently large irreducible three-dimensional manifolds is described and the space of incompressible surfaces in such manifolds is studied.

## 1. Notation and Definitions

We use differential-topological $C^{\text {oa }}$-terminology. Let $V$ be a compact manifold, $F$ a submanifold of it, $N$ and $A$ closed sets in $V$ and $F$, respectively. We denote by Diff(V,N) the group of all diffeomorphisms of the manifold $V$, fixed on $\partial V$ and $N$, by Em, $(F, V)$ the set of imbeddings of the manifold $F$ in $V$, which coincide on $\partial F$ and $A$ with the inclusion, and by $H(V)$ the set of homotopy equivalences of the manifold $V$, fixed on $\partial V$ : $J i f f(V, N)$ and $E m_{A}(F, V)$ are given the $C^{\infty}$-topology, and $H(V)$ the compact-open topology, We set $\operatorname{Diff}(V)=\operatorname{Diff}(V, \varnothing)$.

Let $V$ be a compact three-dimensional manifold. A surface (a compact two-dimensional submanifold) $F$ in $V$ is called proper if $\partial F=\partial V \cap F$ and $F$ is transverse to $\partial V$. It is called incompressible if: (i) $F$ is connected, proper, and lies two-sidedly in $V$; (ii) $F$ is not the boundary of a contractible submanifold and either $F$ is not a disk or the inclusion $(F, \partial F) \rightarrow(V, \partial V)$ does not admit a homotopy into a map with image lying in $V$; (iin) the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(V)$ is a monomorphism.

The manifold $V$ is called irreducible if any two-dimensional sphere imbedded in $V$ bounds a ball in $V$. It is called a Waldhausen manifold if $V$ is irreducible, contains an incompressible surface, and $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ cannot be imbedded two-sidedly in $V$.
2. History of the Question

If $N$ is a submanifold of the manifold $V$, then $\operatorname{Diff}(V, N)$ has the structure of a Frechet manifold; cf. Leslie [9]. In particular, in this case Jiff(V,N) has the homotopy type of a countable cellular space (countable " CW-complex") and is determined by its homotopy type up to homeomorphism; cf. Burghelea and Kuiper [3]. The homotopy type of Diff(V) has been studied in a series of papers. The first were the results of Milnor on $\pi_{0} J i f f\left(S^{n}\right)$ (cf. [7, 10]). On the basis of them it was proved by Novikov [11], Antonelli, Burghelea, and Kahn [1], and other authors that a large number of homotopy groups $\pi_{i} D_{i f f}(V)$ for $V=J^{n}$ and some other $V$ were nontrivial.

One has complete information on the homotopy type of $J i f f(V)$ only in a few cases. Essentially they reduce to the following. It is well known (and easily proved) that

[^0]$\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{1}\right) \approx S^{1}$. Smale [12] proved that $\operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{\prime 2}\right) \approx 0(3)$, and for the remaining closed surfaces, the homotopy type of the group of diffeomorphisms was calculated by Eells and Earle [5]. As to the three-dimensional case, Akiba [2] announced a proof of Smale's conjecture according to which Diff $\left(g^{3}\right)$ is contractible (the author is not familiar with it, so Theorems 2 and 3 below are formulated with caution).

In addition, in the three-dimensional case there are partial results. Laudenbach obtained significant information about $\pi_{0} D_{i f f}\left(S^{11} \times S^{2} \# \ldots \# S^{1} \times S^{2}\right)$. In the case when $V$ is a Waldhausen manifold, Waldhausen and Laudenbach reduced the calculation of $\pi_{0} D i f f(V)$ to a homotopy problem, and Laudenbach proved that $\pi_{1} D_{i f f}(V,\{v\})=0$, where $v \in V$. There is an account of these results in Laudenbach [8].

## 3. Basic Result

Let $V$ be a Waldhausen manifold.
THEOREM 1. (Separation Theorem). Let $F$ be an incompressible surface in $V$ and $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ be the result of a small motion of $F$ along a normal field. If $v \in F$, then the inclusion

$$
\operatorname{Em}_{\{v\}}\left(F, V F^{\prime}\right) \subset E m_{\{v\}}(F, V)
$$

induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups.
Now let us assume that Smale's conjecture is true.
THEOREM 2 (Basic Theorem). If $\partial V \neq \varnothing$, then the components of the group Diff $(V)$ are contractible. If $\partial V \neq \emptyset$ and $v \in V$, then the components of the group $\operatorname{Diff}(V,\{v\})$ are contractible.

THEOREM 3. The inclusion $D i f f(V) \subset H(V)$ is a homotopy equivalence.
Theorem 3 is an easy consequence of Theorem 2. Theorem 2 is derived without great difficulty from Theorem 1 and the existence of Haken hierarchies on Waldhausen manifolds [6]. The main difficulty is the separation theorem which is of independent interest. Section 4 is devoted to a sketch of its proof. It can be interpreted as the assertion that any finite-parametric family of imbeddings $F \rightarrow V$ can be pushed off $F^{\prime}$. A special case of it was proved by Laudenbach [8], who constructed pushings for 0 and $I$-parameter families.

## 4. Basic Steps in the Proof of the Separation Theorem

The proof consists of two parts. The first constructs a more or less canonical pushing isotopy for one imbedding. Then from several such isotopies, the pushing of a whole family is glued together.

4a. Covering. We denote by $p:\left(V^{\sim}, w\right) \rightarrow(V, v)$ the covering associated with the image of the group $\pi_{1}(F, v)$ in $\pi_{1}(V, v)$. We set $F^{\prime \prime}=P^{-1}(F)$ and we denote by II the set of components of the manifold $F^{\prime \prime}$. Each surface $C$ from II divides $V^{\sim}$ into two parts $X_{c}$ and $Y_{c}$; by $X_{c}$ we denote that parts which contains $w$. The relation $Y_{c} \subset Y_{D}$ determines an order relation $D<C$ on $I$.

Laudenbach [8] suggested using this construction to prove the separation theorem.
4b. Imbedding of General Form. We call a point $y$ of $F$ singular for the imbedding $f: F \rightarrow V$, if $f$ is not transverse to $F^{\prime}$ at $y$. A singular point $y$ of an imbedding $f$ will be called of finite multiplicity, if the germ at $f(y)$ of the quadruple $\left(V, F^{\prime} ; f(F), f(y)\right)$ is diffeomorphic with the germ at 0 of some quadruple of the form $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2} \times 0, \Gamma, 0\right)$ with $\Gamma$ being the graph of a function $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that 0 is a critical point of finite multiplicity of it. One says that the imbedding $f$ is of general form if all its singular points are of finite multiplicity.

The complement in $E m_{\{v\}}(F, V)$ to the set of imbeddings of general form has codimension $\infty$ and hence to prove the separation theorem it suffices to prove that finite-parametric families of imbeddings of general form can be pushed off $F^{\prime}$.

4c. Basic Lemma. Let $f: F \rightarrow T$ be an imbedding of general form, isotopically connected at $v$ to the inclusion $F G V, f^{\sim}: F \rightarrow V$ be a lifting of it such that $f^{\sim}(v)-w$, A be a closed set in $V^{\sim}$ and let $C$ be a component of the manifold $F^{\prime \prime}$. If $A \cap f^{\sim}(F)=\varnothing, C \cap f^{\sim}(F) \neq \varnothing$ and $D \cap f^{\sim}(F)=\emptyset$ for $C<D$, then there exist an imbedding $g: F \rightarrow V^{\sim}$ transverse to $F^{\prime \prime}$, a component $G$ of the set $g(F) \backslash F^{\prime \prime}$, and a compact manifold with corners $W$ in $V$ such that: (i) $g(v)=W$ is an imbedding; (ii) $\bar{G}$ intersects exactly one component of the manifold $F^{\prime \prime}$ and $\partial W=G \cup\left(\partial W \cap F^{\prime \prime}\right)$; $(i i i) \bar{G} \cap\left(A \cup f^{2}(F)=\phi, \partial W \cap F^{\prime \prime} \cap f^{2}(F) \neq \phi ;\right.$ (iv) either $\partial \bar{G} \subset C$ and $W \subset Y_{c}$ or there exists a disk $E$ such that $G \subset E \subset G(F)$; ( $V$ ) if the component $S$ of the manifold $\partial \bar{G}$ bounds a disk $F^{\prime \prime}$ in $D_{0}$, then either $J_{\rho} \cap W=S$, or there exists a disk $E_{f}$ such that $\partial E_{s}=\$$ and $G \subset E_{5} \subset g(F)$.
[A disk $E_{\}}$with $\partial E_{j}=S$ and $G \subset E_{3} \subset g(F)$ exists for not more than one component $S$ of the manifold $\partial \bar{G})$.

The proof is based on the study of the approximation of the imbedding $f$ by imbeddings transverse to $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$.

4d. Pushing Isotopies. In the situation of the basic lemma, the restriction $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is an imbedding. We choose an imbedding $\varphi: F^{\prime} \times[0,1] \rightarrow V$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\rho(\bar{G}))=P(Q G) \times[0,1]$.

For each components $S$ of the manifold $p(\partial \bar{G})$ bounding a disk $F^{\prime}$ in $D_{s}$ such that $D_{3} \cap p(W)=S$, we add to the manifold $p(W)$ the cylinder $\varphi\left(D_{5} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{j}\right]\right)$, where $0<\varepsilon_{3}<1$, and we smooth the corners of the manifold obtained which do not lie on $F^{\prime}$. As a result, we get a manifold $Z$, which is a trivial cobordism between $\partial Z \cap F^{\prime}$ and $\overline{\partial Z \backslash F^{\prime}}$. With its help, one can construct an isotopy of the manifold $V$, carrying $\overline{\partial Z \backslash F}$ into $\partial Z \cap F^{\prime}$. It follows from (iii) that if the number $\varepsilon_{3}$ is sufficiently small, then this isotopy in some sense (which we will not make precise here) simplifies the intersection of the image of the imbedding $f$ with $F^{\prime}$. Hence, performing several such isotopies in succession, we push the imbedding off $F^{\prime}$.

4e. Pushing Families. We restrict ourselves to the case of a one-parameter family (cf. Laudenbach [8]); the general case is analogous but rather awkward. We construct a pushing isotopy for each imbedding of the family. The isotopy pushing a cercain imbedding also
pushes all close imbeddings. Hence one can choose several isotopies $\lambda^{i}$ and a partition $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ of the domain of definition of the family into closed segments such that $\lambda^{i}$ pushes $f_{t}$ for $t \in T_{i}$, where $\left\{f_{t}: t \in T\right\}$ is the family considered. If $t \in T_{i} \cap T_{j}$ and $i \neq j$, then there are two isotopies pushing $f_{t}$. They can be considered as a map $\lambda: I \times 0 \cup 0 \times I \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(\mathrm{~V})$. In order to construct the pushing needed, it suffices to extend this map to $I^{2}$ so that $\lambda(x) \circ f_{t} \in$ $E m_{\{0\}}\left(F, V F^{\prime}\right)$ for $x \in I \times 1 \cup\left\{\times I\right.$. Such an extension consists of a map $\sigma: I^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(V)$ of the form $\sigma\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot \lambda_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are either constructed with the help of the basic lemma as in 4 d or leave $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ fixed.
[In the case of a multiparametric family one requires maps of the form $\sigma\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=$ $\left.\lambda_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_{n}\left(t_{n}\right).\right]$
5. The author thanks his guiding professor V. A. Rokhlin for posing the problem and for his attention to the work.
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