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## Magnetic Fields in the Corona

NASA (TRACE)


(Thiffeault et al. 2006)

Tangling leads to strong perpendicular gradients.

Study the tangling of solar magnetic field lines.

## Magneto-Convection Simulations



Helmholtz-Hodge Decomposition: $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{h}}$

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}=\nabla \times\left(\psi_{z}\right), \quad \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}=\nabla \phi, \quad \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{h}}=\nabla \chi
$$

## Active Region 10930

Helmholtz-Hodge Decomposition


Consider this region.
12th of December 2006, 14:04 UT, (Tsuneta et al. 2008, Fisher \& Welsch 2008)

## Blinking Vortex Benchmark




$\Rightarrow$
Repeated applications of the blinking vortex motion.

$\Rightarrow$
World lines correspond to 3d braided magnetic field (pig tail, E3).

## Winding Number

$$
\Theta \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{1}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}(t), t\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{2}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}(t), t\right)
$$

normalized averaged winding number:

$$
\Omega\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, T\right)=\frac{\Theta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, T\right)}{q(T)}
$$

## Winding Number


blinking vortex

simulation

## Winding Number




Degrade resolution of simulations to observations.
Same result as before degradation.
Velocity extraction a bigger factor (Welsch 2007).

## Finite Time Topological Entropy



## Finite Time Topological Entropy



High tangling for simulations and observations.
It takes 3.059h for the photosphere to get as tangled as for one cycle of the blinking vortex motion.

## Conclusions

- High degree of winding possible.
- High degree of entanglement
- Tangled magnetic field stores free energy to be released in reconnection events.
- Resolution less important than velocity extraction method (Welsch 2007).
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## Winding Number

normalization: $q(T)=\frac{1}{l_{\text {granules }} L_{x} L_{y}} \int_{0}^{T}|\mathbf{u}| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} t$


$$
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{h}}
$$

Compressional part does not significantly contribute to the winding.

## Passive Scalar


initial profile: $c(x, y)=x+y$

High mixing of passive scalar.

No clear scale due to turbulent motions.

